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## INTRODUCTION.

Among the theologians of the young and persecuted Church in the earlier part of the third century, there were two who, in respect of learning, were acknowledged to surpass all their contemporaries. They met about the year 217 A. D., when one of them was a writer of wide and established reputation, and the other a young feacher of extraordinary promise, fast rising into fame; and this meeting seems to have laid the foundation of a lasting friendship. The younger Churchman was Drigen of Alexandria, who, about that time, is known to have visited Rome; and the elder was Hippolytus, who, in a homily in praise of our Lord, mentions the fact that Origen was then present.

Widely different was the nature of the fame which succeeding generations were destined to bestow upon these two learned friends. Origen was refused the formal title of Saint; and his orthodoxy was the subject of grave controversy; but centuries after his death he continued to influence kindred spirits by his writings, and to excite feelings of love in some and resentment in others, as warm and keen as if he were still living among men. Hippolytus, on the other hand, in spite of the slarp controversies in which he had been engaged, left
behind him a name which all united in venerating, and was granted the title of Saint alike in the East and West; but the halo which surrounded his name was so far from revealing to posterity his personality, as it manifested itself in his life and writings, that these were speedily forgotten in the very locality where he had lived and laboured ; while in the imagination of succeeding generations he was transformed, now into a military officer of high rank (suddenly converted by St. Laurence), now into a bishop of a See in Arabia, till around his name an amount of confusion prevailed, which Dr. Döllinger declared to be almost without a parallel in ecclesiastical history.

One great cause of this has been pointed out by Bishop Wordsworth. Hippolytus lived in Rome, anc wrote in Greek, at a time when the use of the Greek language was fast dying out in Rome itself and through. out Western Christendom. Consequently, it was not in his adopted home in Italy, but in the East, that his rea memory was preserved. There, indeed, his writing: were studied till a late period; and it is from thence that we are soon about to receive his work on Daniel the earliest commentary on that prophet which had been produced in the Christian Church.

St. Jerome, who may be regarded as a connecting link between the Eastern and Western divisions of th Church, was the only Latin Father who so much a mentioned Hippolytus; and he refers to this commen tary, which, in his time, was already nearly two centy ries old. In the East it is mentioned (beside som
earlier writers) by Photius, Patriarch of Constantinople, 860 A. D., and by Suidas.

The earliest fragment of this commentary, published in western Europe since the time of Jerome, was edited by an Englishman named Hugo Broughton, in 1597, and was appended by him to a treatise of his own on Daniel. It consisted of the part which treated of the desolation of the Temple.

In the next century (1670), Combesis, a French writer, edited a fragment of the part about Susanna, and a larger portion beginning from the words, T $\grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho i ́ \beta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ र $\rho o ́ v \omega \nu$, as well as some other fragments; and in 1760 A . Bandini edited nine fragments of the portion about Susanna, of which seven are found in Combefis. In 1770, a monk named de Magistris discovered in the Chigi Library at Rome a manuscript which, from this circumstance, was called the Codex Chisianus, which appeared to contain a large fragment of the lost commentary on the canonical part of Daniel. A passage of some length, at the beginning of this Codex, was observed to be identical with the text of a portion of Hippolytus' treatise on Antichrist; and the question arose, whether this indicated that the Codex was a compilation from different sources, or whether it was simply caused by the author repeating himself. Bardenhewer, in his learned work, Des heiligen Hippolytus von Rom Commentar zum Buche Daniel, carefully considered this question in the light of the evidence, which was then accessible, and decided that as much of the passage as was common to it with the work on Antichrist was an
addition by a later hand, but that all the rest was undoubtedly a portion of the commentary on Daniel, described by Photius. The latest discovery, however, will, I think, show that it is necessary to regard the Codex Chisianus as less homogeneous than has previously been supposed.

This discovery, of which I have now to speak, is one which far surpasses in interest all the others to which I have referred. Some years ago Dr. Basilios Georgiades found in the Island of Chalce, in the Theological College which exists there, a manuscript much injured by fire and water, containing portions of the Psalter, and also a certain treatise, with the scarcely distinguishable inscrip-
 a comparison with the portions edited by Maius* showed him that this was the latter half of the long-lost work of Hippolytus on the canonical part of Daniel, being the commentary on the last six chapters.

Georgiades, as the result of investigations that he has made, maintains that the commentary of Hippolytus on the prophet Daniel was divided into four books, of which the first, $\lambda$ óyos $a^{\prime}$, comprised the portion about Susanna; the second, 入óyos $\beta^{\prime}$, the comments on the "Song of the Three Children," contained in the Septuagint version in the third chapter of Daniel, and also the story of Bel and the Dragon, which in the Septuagint forms a part of the twelfth chapter. The third book ( dóros $^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ ) contained the commentary on the first six

[^0]chapters of Daniel, and the fourth ( $\lambda^{\prime}$ yos $\delta^{\prime}$, which has now been recovered) the remaining six. Georgiades, wishing to make his treatment of the subject as complete as possible, took advantage of a visit which he had occasion to pay to the West, and made a careful inspection of all manuscripts containing any part of Hippolytus' work on Daniel, whether in England, France, or Italy. His work, when it appears, will thus be one of an interest and importance far surpassing everything which has previously been written on the subject. Pending, however, the preparation of the whole manuscript for publication, he introduced to the readers of
 (which is published at the patriarchal printing press at Constantinople), the following very important portions of the manuscript. As this was merely a preliminary introduction of his discovery to the public, intended, no doubt, to prepare the way for his critical edition, he did not, except very occasionally, indicate the points of contact between this text and the fragments contained in the collections of former editors; but I have compared them with those fragments, as given in Lagarde's work, "Hippolyti Romani Quæ Feruntur Omnia Græce," and have marked the result in the notes, so that the great superiority of the text, as now discovered, can at once be seen. In collating this text with the Codex Chisianus, our attention is at once attracted by a long passage common to the two*, just as the

[^1]commencement of that codex was common to it with the work on Antichrist; and I think that the parallelism of the two cases does not end here, and that reasons somewhat similar to those which led Bardenhewer to conclude that that opening passage was in the Codex Chisianus added on by a later hand, will lead to an analogous conclusion about this other passage also. In the text here presented, the commencement of this pas. sage will be seen to be so united with what goes before that it grows out of it; whereas at the point of juncture with the previous text in Codex C it appears to me to have been ingeniously joined on, rather than organically united.

The contrast, too (on which Bardenhewer lays much stress), between the fuller treatment of the subject in the opening passage of the codex and the "gedrängteste Kürze" of that which follows, exists here also; for the latter characteristic disappears again suddenly in Codex C, exactly at the point where its text becomes identical with ours, and reappears as suddenly at the precise point where that identity ceases. And it must also be observed that the information about the coming of Antichrist which Photius spoke of as contained in Hippolytus' commentary on Daniel, and which Bardenhewer relies on as a mark of identification for Codex X , is to be found in that part of it which is also contained in our manuscript, and not in that part which is peculiar to Codex X. Probably when the whole of the manuscript is published further light will be thrown on this matter.

It is not necessary here to enter on the points of con-
troversy which have been raised by the discovery and identification of the ninth book of the "Philosophumena" of our author. In these pages he leaves the regions of strife behind, and appears to forget for the time the existence of Callistus: unless the instances which they record of inconveniences arising from the rashness of bishops who were unacquainted with Scripture may be taken as involving an intended application by the contemporary reader to Hippolytus' opponent. As the passages of Scripture, which Hippolytus here quotes at some length, were of course not in evidence when the revised text of the Greek Testament was issued, I have, without entering into a critical discussion as to the value of this new evidence, indicated in each case into what scale it is cast. The information about the different manuscripts of Hippolytus contained in the notes is entirely derived from Georgiades, who seems to have collated these manuscripts with a care which has not been exercised by any previous editor.

The abbreviations used in the notes are as follows:-
$\Theta=$ The manuscript found in the island of Chalce.
$\mathrm{x}=$ The Codex Chisianus.
$\Pi=$ The Paris manuscript.
$\beta^{1}, \beta^{2}, \beta^{3}, \beta^{4}, \beta^{5},=$ Five Vatican manuscripts, referred to by Georgiades.
J. H. K.

## TO؟ АГIOฯ IППOムฯTOฯ

## ПЕРI OPA乏E $\Sigma$ TO؟ ПРОФНТO؟ $\triangle A N I H \Lambda$ ．

$$
\text { мого玉 } \Delta^{\prime} .
$$







 $\theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \Gamma \rho a \phi a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho о \phi \eta ́ \tau \eta s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \epsilon \iota \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$ ．$\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ov̂ $\nu$ ．＂＇Е $\gamma \grave{\omega}$




 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} s, \kappa \alpha i \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \pi о \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о v ~ \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \theta \eta$ ，каi карסía



1．Georgiades says that the open－ ing sentences of the manuscript，as far as the words oi $\tau \in \in \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \epsilon s$ äv $v \mu o t$ ，are in a very damaged condition；many words and syl－ lables are effaced altogether，and others are quite illegible ；so that he
has supplemented the first quota－ tion from the text of the Septuagint．

2．The passage beginning with the words ö $\sigma \alpha$ $\mu$ èv ousv，and ending $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \mathrm{S} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon \iota \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$ ，is to be found in Lagarde，and forms No． 88 of his fragments．







 $a u ̛ \tau o \hat{v} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \epsilon$. Kaì aủ̃ò $\delta \iota a \phi \in ́ \rho o \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega ̂ s ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$







 Өро́vos av̉rov̂ $\pi \hat{v} \rho \phi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu$. Потацòs $\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̂ \lambda \kappa \epsilon \nu ~ \epsilon ้ \mu \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$











[^2]4. Lagarde reads $\tau \iota \nu \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta_{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \iota$.
5. Lagarde has $̇ v$ repeated before єіко́vı.


 $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \tau о \nu \kappa \tau i \sigma \iota \nu \quad \sigma \eta \mu a i v \epsilon \iota .^{8}$ Tò oûv єimєîv єîdov $\tau \epsilon ́ \sigma-$
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ кó $\mu \mu o v$ тoútov. "Tò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon i ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ a \iota \nu \alpha ~$









6. $\beta^{1}, \beta^{2}, \beta^{3}, \beta^{4}, \beta^{5}$, and $\Pi$ read Sıaфধ́povia, and are followed by Lagarde.
7. The words tòv $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \pi a \nu \tau a$ кó $\sigma \mu$ о are wanting in $\Theta$.
8. The words $\tau \grave{\eta} v \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \tau o v$
 ment No. 89 in Lagarde; they are wanting in $\beta^{2}, \beta^{3}$, and in $\Pi$; while in $\beta^{1}, \beta^{4}, \beta^{5}$ they appear only as a note. In © alone they are found in connexion with the preceding
 ov̉pavov̂.
9. In the first few lines of the Codex Chisianus there is a brief reference to matters which are here under discussion, with such similarity of treatment as is natural when the same author is speaking on different occasions of the same events.

The first part of the Codex Chisianus is identical with a passage in the work on Antichrist. Later on we shall see that the same codex contains a long passage which is identical with part of our text.
10. From $\tau$ v̂vo $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oैv oैш е̇кє́ктŋто $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ is found in $\beta^{1}$, $\beta^{2}, \beta^{3}, \beta^{4}, \beta^{5}$, and $\Pi$, and forms the first part of No. 91 in Lagarde. From $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oủv to $\dot{\mu} v a \iota \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta}$ is found in $\Theta$ only.
11. Bardenhewer, in his work, "Des Heiligen Hippolytus von Rom Commentar zum Buche Daniel," s. 70, discusses, in a note, the question whether $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta s$ $\beta i \beta \lambda \omega$ means a previous volume of Hippolytus' work, or the fourth chapter of the Book of Daniel, and decides unhesitatingly in favour of







 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i ́ a ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о v ~ \epsilon ̇ \delta o ́ \theta \eta ~ a ̀ ̉ \tau \hat{\eta}, \tau о v ิ \tau o ~ \delta \eta \lambda о \hat{\imath}$, o̊ть $\tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu о-$



 $\alpha u ̀ \tau o ̀ s ~ \mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon i ̂ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$. "Kaì $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \omega ิ \nu$



 каì $\dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ a ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ \epsilon i s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon a ̀ \nu ~ к a i ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \grave{\alpha} \nu$, кaì $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ oi


the latter hypothesis, on the ground that $\sigma \eta \mu a i v \epsilon \iota v$ is with Hippolytus the regular phrase for Biblical references. He is thus obliged to give a rather forced interpretation of the words, ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \cdot \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau a v i \tau \eta s$ $\beta i \beta \lambda \omega$, regarding them as simply equivalent to "an earlier part of the Book"-"in einem früheren Abschnitte des Buches." If Georgiades be right in concluding that this work was divided into four入óyou, of which our text is the
fourth, while the third (dealing with the first six chapters of Daniel) would include the required passage, it appears to me to be more natural to suppose that the words ėv $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \frac{1}{\tau} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \mathrm{~s} \beta i \not \beta \lambda \omega \iota$ refer to $\lambda$ óros $\gamma$.
12. From тò oivv єiтєiv̀ to ảтоката$\sigma \tau \alpha \theta$ cis is found in all the manuscripts, and constitutes the second part of No. 91 in Lagarde.
13. From каө̀ेs aủzòs down to




 $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta s ~ \beta i ́ \beta \lambda \omega, \pi a \rho e ̂ \lambda a \beta \epsilon \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ \Delta a \rho \epsilon i ̂ o s ~$

 $\tau \circ v \tau \tau \omega \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ \xi \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i \alpha \nu$.









14. The last six words of this passage form the 92 nd fragment in Lagarde.
15. The text from this on for about on hundred and seventy lines, down to the words dıà $\tau \grave{\iota}$ кaì $\sigma \grave{v}$ ov̉ $\mu$ акро $\theta v \mu \epsilon i \hat{s}$, was, until the discovery of the newly-found manuscript, completely lost.
16. The manuscript has $\epsilon \kappa v o v \tau \epsilon$, which Georgiades believes to be a copyist's error for $\lambda_{i} \chi^{v o v} \tau \epsilon$, and so reads as above. S. Hippolytus has $\lambda_{i ́ \chi}{ }^{\prime}$ ov further on. Прoà ${ }^{\prime}$ 's is an epithet which he employs several times in the Philosophumena.
17. 'Oג'vı $\theta$ ou are winter figs,
which seldem ripen. As they would of course deceive anyone who should be led by their appearance to conclude that the "time of figs" was come, they might be taken to represent deceptive signs which did not really herald the end. But the reference, a little later, to the картої äтобтабías makes it probable that they do refer to signs of the end. In Rev. vi. 13, we have the words $\sigma v \kappa \hat{\eta}$ and ỏdv́v $\theta$ ous occurring together, though, of course, in a very different connexion.
18. See Rev. x. 7.
 $v \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha ́ \pi \pi \pi \alpha \rho \iota s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \chi v \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho i s$ каĭ $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \dot{\eta} \sigma v \kappa \hat{\eta}$ каі̀ $\pi \rho о к о ́ \psi о v \sigma \iota \nu$ оі $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$ àтобтабías картоí. То́тє клєє $\sigma$ -

 каi $\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ai $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta o v \sigma \alpha \iota$, öть $\dot{\omega} \lambda \iota \gamma \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ каі̀




 $\tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ; ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi о i ́ a ~ \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ Kvpiov $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi a \nu \epsilon i ́ a s ;$

 є́ $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma o ́ \rho o v s$ av̉roús $\tau \epsilon$ каì $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau a ~$ $\kappa а \tau а \sigma \tau \eta \prime \sigma \eta$, à $\epsilon \grave{\imath} \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \omega ิ \nu \tau a s$ каi $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к \omega ิ \nu \tau a s ~ \tau о ~ к а \theta^{\prime}$








19. What follows is a very free quotation from Eccl. xii. 3-7, applied to the end of the world.
20. This word is not to be found in Liddell and Scott, but $\mu а к \rho о \eta$ $\mu \epsilon \rho o s$ is. Georgiades mentions that a Greek Lexicon published at Athens gives it as used by Johannes Damascenus, and he adds, with
pardonable pride-"Be it known now, that long before Damascenus Hippolytus used it."
21. S. Matt. xxiv. 42, 44 ; S. Mark, xiii. 35. The reading here agrees with Alford, Westcott, and R. V.-T. R. has ©̈pa.
22. S. Matt. xxiv. 46-51; S. Luke, xii. 45,46 ; S. Mark, xiii. 37.

 $\grave{\epsilon} \sigma \theta i \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \theta v o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu,{ }^{23} \eta{ }^{23} \xi \epsilon \iota$ ó Kúpıos
 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \chi о \tau о \mu \eta ं \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu$
 $\mu o ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ o ̉ \delta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu . ~ \Delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ \tau o ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega ~ v i \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \gamma \rho \eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon i ̂ \tau \epsilon . " ~ "$
















23. The text commences as if a quotation from S. Matthew, having како́s, which S. Luke omits, and $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} v \mu \epsilon \theta v o ́ v \tau \omega v$, where S . Luke
 каì $\tau \grave{s} s \pi a \iota \delta i ́ \sigma \kappa \alpha s$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ are found here in S. Luke, and not in any manuscript of S . Matthew. If the quotation be referred to Matt. xxiv., è $\sigma \theta i \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon$ каì $\pi i \nu \in \iota \nu$ will
agree with the Textus Receptus against the weight of manuscript authority.
24. Acts, i. 6-8. This quotation agrees more closely than the last with our present texts. The differences are, Tov̂ 'I $\sigma \rho a \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda$ for $T \hat{\omega}$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ r o u ́ s . ~ " E \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ ~ \mu o t ~ a g r e e s ~$ with T. R. in opposition to R. V.
























[^3]26. Verse 20 is here omitted. Ov̉k є่ $\gamma \epsilon \in \nu \in \tau \circ$ for ov̉ $\gamma \in ́ \gamma o v \in \nu$. Ov̉ is omitted.
27. Matt. xxiv. 32, 33 ; Luke, xxi. 29-31. The manuscript has Прòs $\beta$ á入入ov $\sigma \alpha \nu$.
28. Luke, xxi. 9 and 31 seem to be here confused.
29. Mark, xiii. 8, and Luke, xxi. 10, 11.
30. Matt. xxiv. 6 ; Mark, xiii. 8.

үà $\rho \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \kappa \eta \rho v \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ E v ̉ a \gamma \gamma e ́ \lambda ı o \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ K v \rho i ́ o v ~ \epsilon i s ~ \mu a \rho \tau u ́-~$
 $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \pi a s$ кó $\sigma \mu$ оs $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$." $\Delta \imath \eta \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v-~$
 $\tau \iota \varsigma^{33}{ }^{3}$ Екк $\lambda \eta \sigma i a s$ каì $\mu \eta^{34}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon i \rho \omega s$ év $\nu \tau v \gamma \chi^{a} \nu \omega \nu$ тaîs $\theta \epsilon i ́ a u s$


















31. Matt. xxiv. 14 ; Mark, xiii. 10.
32. Firmilian, Bishop of Caesarea (who lived about the same time as Hippolytus), is made, in a Latin translation of his words, to speak of the bishops meeting in synod as "Seniores et Praepositi." The latter word would represent $\Pi$ Поєо$\tau \omega \bar{\tau} \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ in the original.
33. The manuscript has $\tau \hat{\eta} s$.
34. The manuscript has ${ }^{\text {ér }} \mu \pi 0$ pos.
35. Matt. xxiv. 23-26. Verses 23 and 24 are transposed. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \grave{~}$ is inserted, and $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ á $\lambda a$ omitted V. 25 is omitted.
36. The manuscript has only the $\delta$ of $\delta \epsilon \tau \nu$, and the $\tau$ of ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \nu \chi \in \nu$ is wanting.







 крıтท̀s тоv̂ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \kappa o ́ \sigma \mu о v ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma i \nu є \tau a l . ~ K a i ̀ ~ \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́-~$

 S̀̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \gamma \mu o ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \iota \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \alpha^{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$, ǐva $\mu \grave{\eta}$




 Г $\rho a \phi a i ̂ s, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ o ́ \rho a ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu ~ o i ̂ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ e ́ \omega ́ \rho a ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~$







37. Matt. xxiv. 27 : фaive九 with D. G. for фaívєтац.
38. The manuscript has $\sigma \eta \mu a \hat{\imath}-$ vov. Georgiades suggests that it may be for $\sigma \eta \mu a i ́ v o v \tau o s$.
39. Matt. xxiv. 30 : Пarрıк $\overline{\mathrm{j}}$ for $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}$ s.
40. Manuscript has $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$.
41. Manuscript has $\eta^{\eta} \stackrel{a}{ } \nu \stackrel{\dagger}{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$.
42. Manuscript has $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
43. Manuscript has $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$.
44. 2nd Thess. ii. 2: Tô̂ кvpíov with Westcott, Alford, and R. V. T. R. has $\chi \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$











 $\pi \rho о \sigma$ '́ $\chi o v \sigma \iota, ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu a \iota s ~ \pi a \rho a \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~$










 $\sigma v ́ \mu \phi \omega \nu o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ K v \rho i ́ o v ~ \rho \eta ́ \mu a \sigma \iota . ~ Г \rho a ́ \phi \omega \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ ~ \rho ~$

45. Perhaps for $\oplus$ ©.
46. Manuscript has $\epsilon$ i.
47. Matt. xv. 3-6; Mark vii. 2-13.
48. 1 Tim. iv. 1.
49. The Marcionites and other

Gnostic sects may be referred to. Epiphanius says: тàs кvрıака̀s

 єv́єı.
50. Rom. iii. 4.
 $\tau \hat{\jmath}$ крі́бє由s $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к a ̂ \nu ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ к а \iota \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \eta ं \pi \omega ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta-$
















 $\pi \lambda a ́ v o s ; ~ ' A \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega s ~ \zeta \eta \tau \tau \epsilon i s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \omega s ~ \pi o ́ \sigma a$ 光 $\tau \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \epsilon i-$

 $\theta v \mu \epsilon i ̂ s$. ${ }^{54 " \text { "Ov̉aì } \gamma \text { á } \rho, \phi \eta \sigma i v \text {, oi } \grave{\epsilon} \pi \imath \theta v \mu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu}$


51. 2 Thess. ii. 1-9: ' $\Upsilon \pi \grave{\iota} \rho$ is here changed into $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, and the words ' $\mathrm{H} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{0}$, together with all mention of the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$, are omitted.
52. The manuscript has ä $\pi \mathbf{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\delta} \epsilon$ кvv́ov éavtov éatì $\theta$ éós.
53. T. R. omits 'I $\eta$ oovs with, $\mathrm{BD}^{3} \mathrm{KL}$; Alford inserts it with $\mathrm{AD}^{1} \mathrm{FL}^{2} \boldsymbol{N}$.
54. Amos, v. 18-20 : 'Eáv tıs for
 द̇ $\mu \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta$.
 тàs $\chi \epsilon i \rho a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ \chi o \nu ~ к а i ̂ ~ \delta \alpha ́ к \nu \eta ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ o ै \phi ı s, ~ O u ̉ \chi \grave{\imath}$














 $\nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \omega \grave{s} \kappa a i ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ́ . " ~ E i ̉ ~ o u ̂ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \chi \theta \eta$






55．Rev．vi．9－11．The quota－ tion would appear to have been made from memory．

56．With this sentence begins the passage（to which I have al－ ready referred）which this manu－ script has in common with the Codex Chisianus；it extends as far as the words Tìv émıф́⿱亠乂匕єıav тov̂ кขрíov．

57．Lagarde has $\Lambda \hat{v} \chi \chi^{\nu o v ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~} \tau \hat{̣}$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega$ ；but Georgiades，having inspected the Codex Chisianus， informs us thatits text is distinctly पíxvov єivaı тòv ä้ $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ ，and that the error which Lagarde and Migne copied originated with the editor of the manuscript．In $\Theta$ the scribe had originally written $\lambda \chi_{\chi}{ }^{v} \nu v$ ，which was afterwards altered to $\lambda_{i ́ X}$ vòv．







 T८ßєрíov Kaírapos, vínatєv́ovтos Pov́申ov кaì Pov $\beta \epsilon \lambda \lambda i ́ \omega \nu o s .{ }^{59}$



入єías $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\gamma i \omega \nu$, $\dot{\eta} \nu i ́ \kappa \alpha ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$,












58. Lagarde has Tà $\tau \hat{\omega} v \nu$ र $\rho$ óv $\omega v$


 agreeing with $\Theta$.
59. The particulars given above
as to the day of our Lord's birth and death, the year of Tiberius' reign in which He suffered, and the names of the consuls, are all wanting in Lagarde.
60. Lagarde has $\Gamma \in \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a$.

























Codex Chisianus, which he will point out in his work on this Commentary when it appears, it is possible that Codex $\mathbf{X}$ may not really support Lagarde's reading.
62. © and $\Pi$ have каi єै $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota . \quad \mathrm{X}$ has каíєта, which the editor has changed to кaıvov̀raı. Georgiades suggests as a conjectural emendation $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$, , or else the omission of каi.










 $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ढ̇ $\pi \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \chi \theta \hat{\eta}$, кaì ó крıтŋ̀s $\tau \omega \bar{\omega} \kappa \rho \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ a s$

 каıроі̂s i̊ióoıs $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta$ ท́ $\sigma \in \tau \alpha \iota$.
 $\beta \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \gamma a \dot{G} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ómoîa $\hat{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$,





63. Lagarde has aủroì.
64. Lagarde omits $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, and afterwards has $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ v́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ for $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}-$ бaı.
65. The three manuscripts ( $\Theta$, II, and X) have $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho o v \mu$ év $\omega \nu$, but the editor of X wrote incorrectly $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \in \omega \omega$, and has been followed by Fabricius, Migne, and Lagarde.
66. This clause is wanting in Lagarde. The reference is to a portion of this treatise which is contained in $\Theta$, but has yet to see the light, when Georgiades' edition of it shall appear.
67. This is the end of the passage which $\Theta$ shares with the Codex Chisianus.















 $\pi v \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s} \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì $\theta \lambda i ́ \psi \epsilon \omega \nu \pi о \circ o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$; " Kaì






68. The passage from ${ }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta}$ $\gamma$ à $\hat{a}$ to $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \in ́ \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \iota v a v ̉ \tau \hat{̣}$ constitutes fragment No. 120 in Lagarde, but the words $\ddot{\alpha} \xi \iota o \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a s$
 $\mu \in \nu o \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \ddot{\eta}$ oi are there wanting, and thus the explanation of the $\lambda_{\epsilon \cup к а \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o 兀 ~ i s ~ t r a n s f e r r e d ~ t o ~ t h e ~}^{\text {a }}$

69. Lagarde has $\mathfrak{\rho}$ vín $\omega \nu$, and for
$\tau$ ò ${ }^{\prime} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ oủpavov̂ has $\tau$ ò èmovpávıov.
70. Georgiades remarks that he knows not whence this knowledge was derived by Hippolytus.

I think it is an interesting question whether we may not here have information derived from S. John. The interval between that apostle and Hippolytus is bridged by the lives of two men-Polycarp, the

є"ф $\eta^{\cdot}$ Tav̂ $\alpha a \stackrel{o}{\text { oै }}$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi a ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha$ каi $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \delta v \nu \alpha \prime \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu v ิ \nu$








 $\beta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ av̉ $\tau \grave{\nu}$ каӨapòv ка $\theta a \rho o i ̂ s ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ \psi v \chi \alpha i ̂ s ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \rho \alpha i ̂ s ~$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \theta \eta$ каì $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \nu \tau о i ́ a ~ \phi а \nu \tau а \sigma i ́ a ~ к а i ~ \eta ं \delta о \nu \hat{\eta} ~ \tau о \hat{\imath}$ ßiov тоv́тоv $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \tau \eta \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S$ каì $\mu \epsilon i \zeta о \nu \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о ́ \sigma \kappa \alpha \iota \rho a ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \alpha ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~$









disciple of S. John, and master of Irenæus, and Irenæus, the pupil of Polycarp, and master of Hippolytus.
71. This word does not occur in the Septuagint, or in any profane writer. Westcott, Alford, and
R. V., with $\mathrm{ABCD}^{1} \mathrm{FN}$, have it in Rom. xiv. 4, where T. R. has $\delta v v a-$ ròs $\gamma$ à é $\sigma \tau \iota$; also in 2 Cor. ix. 8 with $\mathrm{BC}^{1} \mathrm{D}^{1} \mathbf{F N}$. The Textus Receptus has it only in 2 Cor. xiii. 3. In all three places it is personal, whereas here it is impersonal.

## TRANSLATION.

"In the first year of Baltasar, king of the Chaldæans, Daniel had a dream ; and the visions of his head upon his bed [were these], and he wrote his dream."

As many things as were revealed to the blessed prophet by the Spirit these he narrated freely to others also, that he might not for himself alone appear to prophesy things to come, but might be manifested as a prophet also for others, even for those who wish believingly to search the divine Scriptures. Therefore he says:-
"I Daniel was beholding: and lo, the four winds of heaven were striking the sea, and four great beasts were coming up out of the sea, diverse from each other. The first like a lioness, and it had wings as of an eagle. I continued to behold until its wings were plucked off, and it was lifted up from the earth, and was made to stand upon human feet, and a human heart was given to it. And behold a second beast like a bear, and it had three ribs in its mouth between its teeth; and thus one said to it, 'Arise, and eat much flesh.' After this I continued beholding; and lo, another beast like a leopard, and it had four wings of a bird over it, and the beast had four heads, and power was given to it. I continued beholding after this; and lo, a fourth beast, terrible, and wonderful, and strong exceedingly; its teeth were iron and its claws brass;
devouring and absorbing; and the residue it was trampling with its feet; and it was diverse exceedingly from all the beasts that were before it, and it had ten horns. And I was giving heed to its horns; and behold, another little horn came up in the midst of them, and three of the former horns were plucked off before it; and behold, there were eyes like human eyes in this horn, and a mouth speaking great things. I continued beholding until thrones were set; and one that was Ancient of days did sit, and his raiment was white as snow, and the hair of his head as wool; his throne was burning flame; a stream of fire flowed on before him; thousand thousands were ministering unto him, and ten thousand times ten thousand stood before him ; the judgment was set, and the books were opened. I beheld then because of the voice of the great words which that horn spake ; I beheld till the beast was slain, and his body was given to be burned with fire ; and the kingdom of the rest of the beasts was taken away, and their lives were prolonged for a season."

Since then beasts diverse and different from each other were shown to the blessed Daniel, we are not to think that he is speaking of certain beasts, but that, in type and figure, he shows to us the kingdoms that arose in this world, like beasts, destroying mankind. By the great sea he means the whole world, and by the four winds of heaven the four-fold creation. When, therefore, he says, "I saw four beasts rising out of the sea," he means the kingdoms of this world. "The first as a lioness, and it had wings as of an eagle." Speaking, therefore, of a lioness, he signifies the kingdom of the Babylonians, which Nabuchodonosor then ruled. But his saying that it had wings as of an eagle means that the king was exalted, and, being inflated with pride,
was lifted up against God through becoming great and glorious. Then he says, "Its wings were plucked out; and it was lifted up from the earth, and was made to stand on human feet, and a human heart was given to it." For this really happened in the time of Nabuchodonosor, as has been shown in the previous book; and he bears witness that this happened thus in his case:"Who was driven out from his kingdom, and his glory was taken away from him, and the greatness which previously he had possessed." As, therefore, when the wings of any bird are plucked, it wants strength, and becomes easily caught, even so, at that time, the king's power, which had been the cause of his boasting and pride, departed from him; then fearing [men] lest he should be slain by them, he fled into the desert.

Whereas it is said that "it was made to stand on human feet, and a human heart was given to it," this signifies that Nabuchodonosor humbled himself, and recognising himself to be a man under the power of God, after he besought the Lord, obtained mercy from Him, being restored again to his kingdom and glory, as he himself bears witness when he says-" And at the end of those days, I, Nabuchodonosor, lifted up mine eyes unto heaven, and mine understanding returned to me, and I blessed the Most High, and I praised and magnified Him that liveth for ever, because His dominion is an everlasting dominion, and His kingdom is from generation to generation, and all the inhabitants of the earth were accounted as nothing." These things, then, happening so to Nabuchodonosor at that time, as the blessed Daniel showed us in the vision, the king also himself made this confession ; and that kingdom having come to an end in the time of Baltasar, when he, on account of the deed which he did, was slain during the
night (as has been shown in the previous book), and Darius, the Median, got possession of the kingdom, being sixty-two years old ; reasonably does the prophet describe the coming up of another beast, which was the bear, in order that he may thereby indicate to us the kingdom of the Persians.
"Here is the end of the matter. As for me Daniel, my thoughts much troubled me, and my appearance was altered, and I kept that which was spoken in my heart."

It behoves, then, every reader of the sacred Scriptures to imitate the prophet Daniel, and not to be inquisitive and hasty, inquiring into the time before the time, and longing to see the winter figs of the fig-tree; but with fear to keep the mystery of God in his heart, lest he, being ensnared by his own lips, may forfeit his own soul. For the time shall come when the almond-tree shall blossom, and the caper plant increase, and the locust fatten, and the fig-tree shall shoot forth, and the fruits of apostasy shall flourish. Then shall the door of life be shut, and the pitcher shall be broken at the fountain, and the wheel shall run together at the cistern, and the grinders shall be idle because they are become few, and they shall all be awaked at the voice of the bird, and all the daughters of music shall be troubled, and the mourners shall be gathered together in the market place, and man shall go away to his long home. But some one will say, "And when shall these things be, and in what season or time shall they be disclosed, and what shall be the day of the appearing of the Lord ?"

Even the Apostles sought in the same way to learn these things from the Lord, but He hid the day from them, in order that He might make both them and all men watchful toward the future, ever thinking earnestly
upon and looking for the heavenly Bridegroom day by day ; lest at any time men become careless about His injunctions, owing to the long interval, should, while he tarries, drop off to sleep and fall from the life celestial. For He says, "Watch, because ye know not on what day your Lord cometh, whether at even, or at midnight, or in the morning." Wherefore He says, "Blessed is that servant whom his Lord when He cometh shall find watching. Verily I say unto you, that He will set him over all that he hath. But if the evil servant say in his heart, The Lord tarrieth, and shall begin to beat the men servants and the maid servants, and to eat and drink with the drunken, his Lord shall come on a day when he expecteth not, and in an hour when he knoweth not, and shall cut him asunder, and appoint his portion with the faithless ; for there shall be the weeping and gnashing of teeth. Wherefore I say unto you watch." These things the Lord Himself revealed in the Gospel when teaching His disciples. And similarly, after His resurrection, the disciples came to Him and asked Him, saying, "Lord, dost Thou at this time restore the kingdom of Israel?" But He said, "It is not for you to know times or seasons which the Father hath set within His own authority ; but ye shall receive power when the Holy Ghost is come upon you, and ye shall be witnesses unto Me both in Jerusalem, and in Galilee, and Samaria, and unto the uttermost part of the earth." Since, then, He hid the day from them by whose means a man would easily be able to tell it forth, we ought to give heed to the things which happen from time to time, and seeing them to be silent.

But these things must come to pass, even though we may not wish it ; for the truth never belies itself. But as He spake about Jerusalem, "When ye see (He saith)

Jerusalem compassed with armies, then know that her desolation is at hand," thus it now behoves us to expect. For, "When (He saith) ye see the abomination of desolation standing in the holy place-let him that readeth understand-then let them that are in Judæa flee unto the mountains, let him that is upon the housetop not go down to take anything out of his house, and let him that is in the field not return back to take his cloak. But woe unto them that are with child, and to them that give suck in those days! For then there shall be great tribulation, such as was not since the beginning of the world, nor ever shall be. And except those days had been shortened, no flesh would have been saved." He has then made it manifest to us hereby in order that we may no longer doubt about anything. And again He saith, "When ye see the fig-tree putting forth leaves, ye know that summer is nigh ; even so ye also when ye see all these things taking place, know ye that He is nigh, at the doors." Since then the abomination has not yet come, but the fourth beast has still the dominion, how can the appearing of the Lord take place? But, some one will say, it is written, "When ye see wars and tumults then know that He is nigh." Yes, it is written, for "Nation (He says) shall rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom, and there shall be earthquakes in divers places, and famines and pestilences," which things already came to pass, and will come to pass. "But all these things (He saith) are the beginning of travail, but the end is not yet. For the Gospel of the Lord must first be preached for a testimony unto all the nations, and thus shall the end come when the whole world is filled." For I will tell you what happened not long ago in Syria. One who was at the head of a church, and did not skilfully study the divine Scriptures, nor
follow the voice of the Lord, was led astray, and led others astray. For whereas the Lord said, "There shall arise many false Christs, and false prophets, and shall show signs and wonders so as to lead astray, if possible, even the elect. Then if anyone shall say to you, Lo, here is the Christ! or, Here! believe it not. Behold, He is in the wilderness! go not forth; behold, He is in the inner chamber! enter not in."

He, not taking heed of these things, persuaded many of the brethren, together with women and children, to go out into the wilderness to meet the Christ ; they were wandering, too, in the mountains and the wildernesses, roaming about there; so that they were very near being all arrested together as robbers, and carried off by the governor, were it not that his wife happened to be a believer; and, being urged by her, he kept the matter quiet that there might not be a pursuit after them.

How great was their folly and ignorance that they should seek the Christ in the wilderness, in the same way as the sons of the prophets in the time of Eliseus the prophet were seeking for three days in the mountains for Elias who had been taken up! Whereas the Lord said, "As the lightning cometh out from the east, and shineth even unto the west, so also shall be the coming of the Son of man:" showing hereby that He would come with full manifestation and in a way that shall be visible from afar-these were seeking for Him in the wilderness. For the second coming shall not be like the first. For then He was seen simply as a lowly man, but now He shall come as Judge of all the earth. And then He came to save man, but now He shall come to punish all sinners, and those who have acted sacrilegiously against Him. But these things we say for the establishment of the faithful brethren, in order that they may
not anticipate the will of God, knowing each one that in whatever day he may depart out of this world, he is already judged, for the consummation of things has overtaken him.

But similarly in Pontus, a certain other person, who also was at the head of a church, a pious and humble man indeed, but who did not steadily give heed to the Scriptures, but was wont rather to trust in the visions which he himself used to see. For when he had chanced to have one dream, and a second, and a third, thenceforth he began to foretell to the brethren as a prophet [saying] he knows this and this is about to happen. But they, when they heard him foretelling, as that the day of the Lord is at hand, with weeping and wailing were entreating the Lord, night and day having before their eyes the approaching day of judgment. And to so great fear and panic did he bring the brethren, that they let their lands and their fields lie waste; and the greater part of them sold their possessions. But he said to them, "If it do not come to pass as I said, no longer even believe the Scriptures, but let each of you do what he wishes. Whereas then they were expecting the result, but nothing which he said came to pass ; he himself, indeed, was put to shame as having spoken falsely, but the Scriptures were shown to speak the truth, and the brethren were made to stumble, so that thenceforth the virgins married and the men betook themselves to agriculture; but they who had rashly sold their possessions were afterwards found asking alms. These things happen to the unlearned and unstable, as many as do not pay close attention to the Scriptures, but rather give heed to their own errors and their own dreams, and to legends and old wives' fables. For the case of the children of Israel also was similar ; and they, setting at
nought the law of God, used to put other things on a level with it, and favouring the traditions of the elders, were wont to submit themselves to them. And now too certain persons take the same presumptuous course; giving heed to idle visions and doctrines of demons they dishonour the Gospel of the Lord, often both on the Sabbath and the Lord's Day, appointing a fast which the Christ did not appoint. Since, then, the words of the Lord are true, but every man a liar, as it is written, let us see if the apostle Paul also is in accordance with the words of the Lord. For writing to the Thessalonians, and exhorting them always indeed to watch and continue steadfastly in prayer, but not to expect the Day of Judgment as yet, on account of the time not yet having been fulfilled, he spoke in this way: "Now we beseech you, brethren, touching the coming of the Lord, that ye be not disturbed either by word or by epistle as from us as that the day of the Lord is now present. Let no man beguile you in any wise; for it will not be, except the falling away come first, and the man of $\sin$ be revealed, the son of perdition, he that opposeth and exalteth himself against all that is called God or that is worshipped, so that he sitteth in the temple of God, setting himself forth as God. Remember ye not, that, when I was yet with you I told you these things? And now ye know that which restraineth, to the end that he may be revealed in his own season. For the mystery of error doth already work; only there is one that restraineth now, until he be taken out of the way. And then shall be revealed the lawless one whom the Lord Jesus shall slay with the breath of his mouth, even he whose coming is according to the working of Satan." Who then is he that now restraineth but the fourth beast; and when he is removed and taken out of the
way, the deceiver shall come. But, at all events, you seek curiously to know how many years still remain for the beast before he be taken away, not perceiving that in seeking these things you seek danger for yourself, and desire sooner to see the judgment. For woe (He saith) unto those that desire the day of the Lord, and it is darkness and not light. As if a man should flee from a lion and a bear should meet him, and should rush into his house and lean his hands against the wall, and a serpent should bite him. Shall not the day of the Lord thus be darkness and not light, darkness not having light as of the moon? What concern of yours, then, is it to busy yourself overmuch about the time and to inquire into the day, when the Saviour hid it from us? Tell me if you know the day of your death, that you occupy yourself with the end of the whole world. But if God were not long-suffering in our case, through the exceeding greatness of His mercy, all things would have come to an end long ago. Read what is written by John in the Apocalypse: "And I saw underneath the altar the souls of them that had been slain for the name of Jesus, and they cried and said unto God, How long, O Lord God, dost Thou not judge and avenge our blood on them that dwell on the earth? And white robes were given unto them, and it was said unto them that they should wait yet for a little time, in order that their fellowservants, which should be killed even as they were, might fulfil their testimony. If, then, patience was enjoined on those who shared the fellowship of martyrdom, who shed their own blood for the Lord, why do not you too exercise patience in order that others also may be saved, and the number of those who are called to be saints may be completed?"

But, in order that we may not, on this point either,
allow the matter that is before us to remain without proof, we force ourselves to speak that which it is not lawful to tell, being compelled on account of the curiosity of man. For the time from the beginning of the world, counted from Adam, will make perfectly plain to us the matters which we are in search of. For the first coming of our Lord, the coming in the flesh, whereby He was born in Bethlehem, took place on the eighth day before the kalends of January, on the fourth day of the week, in the forty-second year of the reign of Augustus, and the five thousand five hundredth year from Adam; but He suffered in the thirty-third year, on the eighth day before the kalends of April, on the day of the Preparation, in the eighteenth year of Tiberius Cæsar, Rufus and Rubellio being Consuls.

Therefore these six thousand years must needs be fulfilled in order that the Rest may come, the Holy Day in which God rested from all His works which He began to make. For the Sabbath is a type and emblem of the coming kingdom of the saints, when they shall reign with the Christ when He comes from heaven, as John declares in the Apocalypse; for a day with the Lord is as a thousand years. Since, then, God made all things in six days, the six thousand years must needs be fulfilled: for not yet are they fulfilled, as John says: "Five are fallen, one is (that is the sixth), the other is not yet come." Now, speaking of "the other," he describes it tc us as the seventh, in which the Rest shall be.

But someone will be certain to say: "How will you prove to me that the Saviour was born in the five thousand five hundredth year ?" Learn it easily, O man; for the things that were written long ago about the Tabernacle by Moses, in the wilderness, were constituted types and emblems of the spiritual mysteries, in
order that when the truth came in Christ in the last days you might be able to perceive that these things were fulfilled. For He saith unto him: "And thou shalt make the ark of incorruptible wood, and thou shalt overlay it with pure gold within and without; and thou shalt make the length of it two cubits and a-half, and the breadth of it a cubit and a-half, and the height of it a cubit and a-half;" which, being added together, makes five cubits and a-half, that the five thousand five hundred years might be made known, at which time the Saviour coming [born] of the Virgin, presented in the world the ark, His own body, overlaid with pure gold: within with the Word, and without with the Spirit. So that the truth has been demonstrated and the ark made manifest. From the birth of Christ we must, then, reckon five hundred years altogether to complete the six thousand years; and thus shall the end be. But that in the time which was the fifth and a-half the Saviour was present in the world, bearing the incorruptible ark, His own body, John declares: "Now it was the sixth hour ;" that he might point out to us the half of the day, for a day with the Lord is a thousand years ; therefore the half of these is five hundred. For neither was it possible that He should come sooner ; for the burden of the law still endured, the sixth day not yet being completed (for there shall be also the cleansing bath); but on the day, that is the fifth and a-half, that in the remaining half time the Gospel might be preached unto all the world; and when the sixth day was completed He might bring to an end the life that now is. For since the Persians held fast the dominion, reigning for two hundred and thirty years, and after them the Greeks, as being more illustrious, for three hundred years, the fourth beast, as being strong, and being greater than all that
went before it, must needs reign for five hundred years; and when these periods are completed, and in the last days the ten horns arise out of it, there shall appear among them the Antichrist about whom we spoke before. While he is warring, and persecuting the saints, at that time we must expect from heaven the appearing of the Lord, when once more He shall be clearly revealed as the King of kings, and at His coming shall be openly announced as the Judge of judges. For all things that were predetermined of God to take place, and were proclaimed beforehand by the prophets, shall thus be fulfilled in their own times.

These things having been thus spoken, the prophet, wishing to meddle too much by inquiring more closely what shall be the state after the Resurrection, answered him, and said: "Lord, what shall be the issue of these things? And He said, Come hither, Daniel; because these words are closed up and sealed up till the end of the time, till many shall be chosen out, and shall be made white, and shall be purified, and the wicked shall do wickedly, and the wise shall understand. And do thou come hither, and desist: for there are yet days to elapse before the end is fulfilled; and thou shalt stand in thy lot at the end of the days." For since man is not able now to tell out fully the things which God prepared for His saints; for "neither did his eye see them nor his ear hear them, nor did they enter into the heart of man," "which things angels desire to look into ;" on account of this He said to him, "these words are closed up till the end of the time, till many be chosen out, and made white, and purified." Now who are they who are chosen out, but they who are found worthy of the kingdom? And who are they who are made white, but they who, believing in the word of
truth, that they may through it be made white, and casting away the filth of their sins, clothe themselves with the pure and translucent Holy Spirit which is from heaven, that when the Bridegroom cometh they may straightway enter in together with Him? And who are they that are purified wholly, but those who go through fire and water by means of the spiritual laver of regeneration, and do the will of God while passing through the refiner's fire of many temptations and tribulations? "And the wicked shall do wickedly, and the wise shall understand." These by means of their power of free agency doing wickedly, and again some by its means being wise, and pleasing [God]. When, therefore, the Lord was telling His disciples about the future kingdom of the saints, how it should be glorious and wonderful, Judas, struck with amazement at the things that were spoken, said: "And who, then, shall see these things?" But the Lord said: "They shall see them who have become worthy." And see, O man, the things that in old time were sealed up, and could not be known, are now openly proclaimed upon the housetops, and the Book of Life has been unfolded, being stretched out visibly upon a cross, having a title written in Latin, Greek, and Hebrew, so that both Romans and Greeks and Hebrews might be taught, in order that men looking for the good things that are coming may believe the things that are written in this Book of Life, and the things that are preached in all the world; and, having learned the evils that are coming, fearing the judgment, may escape also the everlasting punishment; so that we all, being found worthy of the King, may receive Him who is pure, with bodies pure, and souls radiant, and being clad in fair apparel, having trimmed our lamps with translucent oil; lest being deceived by the surfeit-
ing, and the drunkenness, and by all the varied pomp and the pleasure of this life, and having counted the things that are temporal and earthly and corruptible as greater than the good things, which are eternal and incorruptible and undefiled; having dropped off to sleep over our watch, we may fall from the life eternal. But God is able to render us all watchful, to be merciful to us, to guard us, to keep us safe from all temptation and affliction; that, having escaped the fiery judgment that is coming, we may anticipate even the appearing of His beloved Son, Jesus Christ our Lord, to meet Him with joy, glorifying Him; for His is the glory and the power, for ever and ever. Amen.

THE END.
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